UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,748	10/19/2000	. M. Chapman Findlay III	35512-00056	7074
JOSEPH SWAN, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 1334 PARKVIEW AVENUE, SUITE 100			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, NGA B	
MANHATTA	N BEACH, CA 90266		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Application Number	Application/Co	ntrol No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination				
	09/692,748		FINDLAY ET AL.				
		•	Art Unit				
	Nga Nguyen		3621 3692 9 F				
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEF						
Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review							
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Br	ief Request for I	Review filed <u>5/3</u>	<u>3/07</u> .				
 Improper Request – The Recreason(s): 	uest is imprope	r and a confere	ence will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has no ☐ The request does not includ ☐ A proposed amendment is in ☐ Other:	e reasons why a	a review is appr	opriate.				
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comn			ipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from al has been received.				
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month frrunning from the receipt of the notice.	appeal because in accordance on mailing this of appeal, which	e there is at lea with 37 CFR 41 decision, or the chever is greate	A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ast one actual issue for appeal. Applicant .37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period er. Further, the time period for filing of the I date of this decision or the receipt date				

3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows:

4. 🔀 **Reopen Prosecution** – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

All participants:

(1) Andrew J. Fischer

of the notice of appeal, as applicable.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

Claim(s) allowed: _____ Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: ____

(2) Nga Nguyen.

(3) James Kramer

(4)